



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/397,300	09/15/1999	MARKO VALO	442-008869-U	8581

7590 02/12/2003  
PERMAN & GREEN  
425 POST ROAD  
FAIRFIELD, CT 064306232

EXAMINER

RAMOS FELICIANO, ELISEO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2682

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/397,300

Applicant(s)  
VALO et al.

Examiner  
ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO

Art Unit  
2682



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) ATTY. JOSEPH V. GAMBERDELL (REG. NO. 44,695)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 3, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 12

Identification of prior art discussed:

SUZUKI (US Pat. No. 6,044,067) and SNOWDEN et al. (US Pat. No. 5,974,032)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

As to claim 1, terms "data being divided into data units", "data element" and "status data element" were discussed. The terms need definition as to specify that each "data unit" includes a "data element" and "status data element", as argued. Further, a link between these terms and the expression "two consecutive data units" needs to be established, as argued. SUZUKI meets the claim as written.

As to claim 12, the term "status bit" was discussed. Such term is too broad. The claim fails to contain further definition/limitation of the term. SNOWDEN et al.'s bit rate indicator reads as the claimed status bit. SNOWDEN et al. meets the claim as written.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2682

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

2/3/03